G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

17/1/1894

Later references.

Jmg 182-23-(1-13)

1928

(Mewar)

File No. 508-08

Serial Nos. 1—14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~Part B for October 22~~

Serial Nos. 1—14

Part B for October 22

SUBJECT.

Mismanagement of the affairs of Shri Vinayakpala Swami temple at Pedakancharajapuram, T. Narasipu-ram

Question raised to Jmg Comm

12/10/22

2

10/11/22

WD 1888—GPB—16,626—23-7 21

G.R.S. 11] 190 9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Nagapattinam)

NOTES.

File No. 508-08

FILE HEADING.

Petition from Kapathegar Srinivasa Raghavachar complaining of the mis-management of Sri Venugopalaswamy temple at Srirangarajapuram, Tiruvadipuram Taluk

From

Srinivasaraghavachar.

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No. 1113	Enclos	Spare Copies / Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Complains that the manager Rangachar has alienated the lands of the temple, has made a fraudulent use of the pay due to the deceased Archak and has allowed the temple to go to ruins and prays that it may be taken under Govt. management.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The orders of H.H. the Maharaja sanctioning the temporary appointment of Rangachar for a period of 2 years as manager of the Sri Venugopala Swamy temple were communicated in Govt. Rlo. no. 5026-8

(2 Nov 10)

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000 -3-4-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 508 of 1908

Serial No.	
	Dated 26th April 1909
AD 27/3/09	Submitted with a draft
	27/3/09
	8/4/1909
Sec	
2	To, The Dy Commr, Mysore Distt Docket no 1515 Dy 7.4.09. Forwards Sl 1. in confirmation for report.
4	From, The Dy. Commr, Mysore Distt Letter no 506 Dy 30.3.1916. Recommends that the Temple of Sri Venugopala Swami at Sri-rangarajapur Agrahar may be taken under Govt management together with its endowments.
3	The Agrahar is in ~~ruins~~ ruins, and the people are living in a village at a distance of a mile from the Agrahar.
4	In his kyphiyat Dy 21-4-1910, the manager states that he is living at a distant place from the Agrahara, and that the management of the Temple in the Agrahar may be entrusted to some body else at the desire of Govt, and that the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.08

Serial No

several complaints against him emanated from the people setup by the Parpatyegar.

7 The Amildar recommends that the Temple and its endowments may be taken under Govt management, and promises to submit a scale of expenditure for the proper conduct of services etc after ascertaining the exact income of the Temple.

6 The Sub Divl Officer and the Dy. Commr recommend the assumption of the management of the institution submitted for orders.

W (SW) 7 The institution in 18.5.16 question is a mujrai institution and its history is given in the Office Note leading to G order of 26th April 07. It has been proved that there has been gross and persistent mismanagement of the institution and the local officers recommend its being taken over under Govt management. To avoid further delay and the consequent deterioration of the

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPP—500—17.12.17.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 508 of 1908.

Serial No

temple and its properties, it seems to be a fit case for Govt interference either under Sections 8 & 9 or under clause 3 of Section 3 of the Mayrai Regulation VI of 1913.

22/5/16

We must know something definite about the income and expenditure and the condition of the buildings and the necessity for incurring any immediate expenditure before orders of Govt can be passed for assumption of management. The auditor reports that information on this point was being collected. Call for a report.

18.7.16

Submitted with a draft for approval

18.7.1916

Approved

20/7/16

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPI —70 000—17 12 5

Serial No.

5 no 323 dt 27.7.16, to Dy Comr of Mysore, calling for his report regarding the assumption of management of the temple in question.

6. From, the Dy. Comr, Mysore dt. Letter no. 166 dt 10.8.1920.

Submits further report about the inam lands alienated from Sri Venugopala Swami temple at Srirangapatna, and sends up a statement of income and expenditure together with an estimate for Rs 1376/ for repairs to the temple building, and adds that as Rangachar did not set up his contention since which the management was restored to him, the local authorities recommend that the institution lands may be taken under Govt management.

B As regards the estimate for Rs 1376, it is proposed that Rs 276-11-11 may be met from the amount at the temple credit, for which the Collector, Mysore may be asked to send the State Govt. Also regarding the temple lands in the Nelamangala village, the Dy Comr is

GPB—50,000—13-5-08

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 508 of 191 8.

Serial No.	

Order arrived on the 7th ult.

Reads:-

1) The management of the temple by its present manager Rangachen having evidently been unsatisfactory his control shall be superseded by Govt. management

2) Sanction to the scale of income

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 508 of 1908

Serial No.

As to expenditure of the temple for this Comr., it is submitted that an Expre of Rs 520 has been proposed as against an income of Rs 520-15 0. evidently on the presumption that some more income might be forthcoming in the future from the lands at Ukkalgiri. Prospective income cannot be counted upon, and so some amt will have to be saved† from the existing items. The scale will have effect from the present as proposed by the Dy Comr. for a period of 2 years after which time it will have to be revised.

† Hatchukatte may be reduced from 38 Rs to 25 Rs Contingent charges thereunder from Rs 5 to Rs 2.

Savings 12 Rs-15 as per year

3) Sanction to an Estimate of Rs 1376 partly from the temple funds & partly from the State grants. The State grants for the current year has been exhausted & any help from this source is out of the question. This will have to wait till the next Fl yr & the Dy Comr may perhaps be asked to renew the applcn next Fl yr.

4) The introduction of Survey and Settlt into the Endowed lands. The Dy Comr may be asked to

Note—Contn. sheet.

Take

2256

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jmr File No. 508 of 1918

Serial No.	
	take rec? Advise in the matter.
14	If approved, a draft order will be passed on the above lines.
	Sd. 18/3/20
15	Please put up the Survey Sketch Register of T. Narsipur Taluk - obtain & if possible for reference from the Dr Office here.
	Camp Nagar AMD 25/6/20
16	I obtained the sketch register from the Dr Office & looked into it -
17	~~do do~~ .. It shows that in the settlement of ~~Lakshmipur~~ Samanatapur Jodi Volume under T.D No 76/94 of 1-12-80; an extent of wet 2 Kandies has been registered as Devadaya Dharm in the name of the Managers of Sri Venugopalaswami Temple at Srirangapatna pureim Agraharam -

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 508 of 1908

Serial No.

I put up for ready reffe the Copy of the Drawn Regr in Mains for 1905.

15 Perusing the Regr in which it is seen that the Temple was granted on Drawn of 35 Pagodas under a Sanad by Vikrama-Sena for Archanaikkali Sivaswamy, Pandaswamy, Virabhadra, Tyagaraja, Tyumbaswamy and Thiruvengadathaiyan and Two Drawn Nos. [illegible] entered into Account. Calculations of the Village Accounts; and the Drawn of 35 Pagodas [illegible] but in Col 15 of the Regr, the Temple (No 46) has been given credit for 2 Kandies of wet-land.

19 It is not clear whether this extent of 2 Kandies of wet-land is the same as the Drawn of 35 Pagodas mentioned in Col 14 as a Spl grant for Archanaikkali Sivaswamy under Vikrama-Sanad

[illegible] but in the Regr of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mis. File No. 508 of 1918.

Serial No.	
	Dr. Nunz. opines d. 29 Sept. 1885 it is stated that when asked (the Poundar of the Pagoda) made a free gift of 9 and odd Candies of wet lands to the Temple, that 2 odd Candies of land out of this gift had always been in the possession of the Archak- & registered in the name of the Temple at the Inam Settlement and that as there was no documentary Surrender of the Extent of 7 Kandies - this extent was not registered in the name of the Temple, & that in Consequence the heirs of Irumbasalian had always Contended that they were not bound to render an amount of the out-turn of the pot. And that there was nothing to justify their being Called Compelled to spend more than the Nadik - for Gods, the heirs of Irumbasalian did not choose to hold themselves in expectation of the Gift +.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mng File No. 508 of 1908.

Serial No.

1/ The Inam Settlement Register is silent about this gift. The 2 cawdies of land in the name of the Temple is, ~~but~~ according to the settlement presumably, ~~as~~ in pursuance of a grant of 35 pagodas from Sundu Vikrama Samaad - The Dr Muz. Officer's report based this grant on a gift by Immadi Sundu Vikrama Samaad. ~~Sundu~~ may clear the point & also the alleged gift of 2 cawdies - now not accepted by him.

2/ Further in reply to the extent of 2 cawdies admitted in the name of the Temple, it must clear, if the lands have been demarcated by metes & bounds, as suggested in para 9 of the Dr Muz-Officer's letter of 29 Sept 1885 - ~~and~~ value what the assessment of the land is, & what ~~for~~ it pays - also whether and ~~how~~ how the lands are

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mus File No. 508 of 1908

Serial No.

Now occupied & in charge appropriated.

2) Now as to the management of the Temple:-

(a) The Govt Proc no 157-8/Mus 717-9+8, 4 Aug. 1894, directs a brief account of the management & lays down to what extent a private Yogamandir can be recognized by the Govt.

3) In Govt. Proc no 5020-8 & 26.4.07, the post approved of the management being given temporarily for a period of 2 years, to one Ramalinga. Subject to the following conditions:-

(a) That Ramalinga shall redeem the alienated temple lands within a year.

(b) That the income derived from the sites & the lands belonging to the Temple - shall be utilized for the exclusive benefit of the Temple -.

Note—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mny File No. 508 of 1908.

Serial No.

4(c) That the Temple which was in ruins should be put in order as soon as possible.

5. The period expired in 1909. In April, a complaint of mismanagement was made and referred to the Dr. for report.

6. Now, the Dr. reports that Raya Chan has not acted up to the conditions & he reported that Ramalakan still continues to enjoy the Temple land. & even refuses to point out what the land is. The Temple is stated to be in ruins & an Estimate for Rs. 13,70 has been sent for sanction.

7. The Dr. proposes to take over the Temple & to put it under proper management.

8. The Dr's report is rather incomplete. He does not explain what the lands & rates are.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

which belong to the Temple and which have been restored in the first order of April 1907 in the Lago dam case of which the conditions of Nanjalraja Management that "the income derived from the Sites & Inam lands belonging to the temple which has been reported to have been encroached by encroachments since the introduction of the Survey in the District of the Dharwar"

30 It is also said that the lands in the Nine Circars in the Seven Mandals of Inam lands granted to the Temple by the original founder of the Nizam & subsequently limited by him to the maintenance of a Choultry"

31 The matter may be definitely cleared during the enquiry—

Note—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Army File No. 508 of 1918

Serial No.

52

Having regard to the very complicated nature of the case, it seems to be very important that the Asst. Supt. should go closely into the affairs of the Temple by a personal inspection & detail in writing - to ensure a speedy & decisive disposal of the question.

53 A copy of the Inam Register relating to the Cash. grant. will be called for - As it is reported that the grant was conferred at the instance of the founder Sri Varadhan & it is likely to contain some reference to the settlement made by Sri Varadhan.

A.

AMS
26/5/20

To Secy.

Note below.
A. Let this reference & Inam Register go @ once

AMS
5/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inam File No. 508 of 1918

Serial No	
	Draft letter to Inam Supdt. is subd.
	80 27.5.20
7.	To, The Inam Supdt in Mysore No. 4100 dy. 31.5.1920. Calls for Copy of Inam Register relating to the Cash grant of the Cusin etc.
8	Inam. re Inam Supdt Letter no 233 dy 18.6.1920 Submits a copy of the ready money Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Rs 153-15-0 to Sri Veerappa Swami temple at Srirangaraja pura Agraharam, Salem Dist.
	86 — 26/7/20 For order.
	37 Contn sheet
	Ans 5-7-20.
	Dy Secy)

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No	
	38 Enquiry made as on Balance 4/14/7/20
	Remitted for [illegible] to Chairman 14.7.20
9.	To, by. Coimm. Mysore Distt. No. 302 dt. 27.7.20. Calls for Statement & Rental etc of the lands in question
10	Memorial from Srinivasa Rangachar and certain others of Srirangapatna complaining of the mismanagement of the Charities instituted at the place. The Dy Commrs. report called for on the subject, may be awaited. Submitted. 20.8.20. 21/8/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Inam, File No. [illegible] of 19[illegible]

Serial No

The petn was received may be forwarded in Continuation and the D C asked to expedite his report
U J 21/8/20

Sir Enclo
23/8/20

Inam
U J 28/8/20

11. To, by. Commn. Mysore D—
No. 802 dy 30 8. 20.
Fr the memorial & Calls for early submn. of the report already Call
Inam, re Dy Comnr, Mysore Dist,
Letter no 166 dy 7-11-21.

11. Submits a statement giving the S. no., Kandayam and annual income of the temple inam lands, and states that it is learnt that these lands were endowed to the temple by the grand-father of the present hereditary trustee.
Submit. For orders
Orders are solicited

12-11-21.

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. [illegible] of 19[illegible]

Serial No

on the points raised in para 13 above —

14/11/24

[illegible] to this
[illegible] N. Hall is the Engrd
~~Chmn's~~ letter — 15-15-
7 April 1924? 508-08 dated
[illegible] 1/11/24

Vide papers flagged W.

19.11.24

Please put —
w/o a note
(a) Showing all the inam
other lands belonging to this temple
(b) When yield since the
date on which Management
was assumed by Ranga Char
(c) the income from other
sources during the same period
(d) the annual expenditure
(e) Where is the balance of
Rs.?
(f) Who is in possession of the
lands and is there any

[illegible] sheet

G R S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. of 19

Serial No.

Difficulty in obtaining immediate information as to the Mysore Government proposal mentioned above

Yr. 21/11/22

Full information is not available in the records. There is a statement giving a list of lands, and their present income.

A letter to the Dy. Commr. calling for information on the several points, to which his application was

30/11/22

To The Deputy Commr., Mysore Dist.
No: 3158 & 23-12-21

Calls for a detailed statement of remote lands belonging to the Sri Veerapa-Swami temple at Sri Rangapatna in the T. Narasipura Taluk.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.	
14.	From, the Dy Comr, Mysore Dist, Letter no DC Mys 166 Dy 4.9.22 Submits further report Called for in Sl. 13. The Dy. Comr's report explains the facts of the case. A statement showing the lands of the temple is filed with the record of enquiry. It is reported that Rangachar came in the management of the affairs and its lands in 1906. And as regards the yield from the lands since 1906 and the income and expenditure, it is reported that in spite of notices issued to the said Rangachar, the latter did not turn up before the Amildar and furnish the information, and has left the village to some unknown place. As regards the persons in possession of these lands, it is reported that Maji nos. 736-903 are in the possession of the Archaka Maji no. 734-35, in the po of Jadidar Raghavachar, Maji

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

Nos 101-102 Corresponding to S. nos. 374, 375, 376, 378/1, in the possession of Jadidan Rangachary and Majé nos. 229, 106 and 732, under Govt management since 1910. There is no information whether there is sufficiency in receiving possession of the Lands other than those under Govt management.

In addition to the income from the lands, the temple has an annual cash grant of Rs. 143-15-0.

It is reported that the temple has a surplus of Rs. 662-4-2 available with [illegible]

[illegible] } The records have not come through the Mudaliar Comr in charge

Submitted to the Madras Govt. for favour of opinion.

84
26-9-22

Transferred to M.C. with letter no Camp 71
Mis 63-22 of 2-10-22

Entered in list
27/9/22

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.